

Valency-decreasing derivations

1. Incorporation

Marianne Mithun (1984): "A large number of unrelated languages scattered throughout the world share an intriguing morphological construction. In this construction, generally referred to as noun incorporation (NI), a N stem is compounded with a V stem to yield a larger, derived V stem, as in Siberian Koryak: *qoya* 'reindeer' + *-nm-* 'to kill' > *qoyanm-* 'to reindeer-slaughter'

1. Composition by juxtaposition. 2. The manipulation of case. 3. The manipulation of discourse structure. Type 4. Classificatory noun incorporation

[1] The term 'incorporation' is generally used to refer to a particular type of compounding in which a V and N combine to form a new V. The N bears a specific semantic relationship to its host - as patient, location, instrument.

Type 1: "A number of languages contain a construction in which a V and its direct object are simply juxtaposed to form an especially tight bond. The V and N remain separate words phonologically; but as in all compounding, the N loses its syntactic status as an argument of the sentence, and the VN unit functions as an intransitive predicate." (id.:849)

D. Creissels (2016) distinguishes different types of incorporation: as a morphological operation; argument incorporation; possessive incorporation; modifying incorporation; classificatory incorporation.

Incorporation of the object (accusative languages) or of the absolutive argument (ergative languages) of bivalent verbs is frequent in Oceanic languages.

Drehu (Lifu, Loyalty islands)

1a. *Angeic a atë la peleitr.*
 3SG IPFV put.down.DET DEF dish
 "S/he is putting down the dish."

1b. *Ati peleitr jë!*
 put.down. NDET dish DIR
 "Set the table!"

2a. *Eni a öj la itre ono.*
 1SG IPFV squeeze.DET DEF PL coconut
 "I am squeezing the coconuts."

2b. *Eni a öji ono.*
 1SG IPFV squeeze.NDET coconut
 "I am coconut-squeezing."

2c. *Eni a öji-ono-on la saladr.*
 1SG IPFV press.NDET-coconut-TR DEF salad
 "I am coconut-squeezing the salad." (French: j'imprègne la salade de coco)

3a. *Nenë a xötre falawa.*
 Mummy IPFV cut bread
 "Mummy is cutting bread".

3b. *Nenë a xötre-falawa-n la hele ka tru.*
 Mummy IPFV cut-bread-TR DEF knife STAT tall
 "Mummy is cutting bread with a big knife".

Xârâcùù (Mainland of New Caledonia): no syntactic derivation

4a. *Chaa kamûrû nă tuu rè chaa kwâ.*
 one man IPFV climb.on NPST one boat
 "A man is climbing on the boat."

4b. *Chaa kamûrû nă tuu kwâ.*
 one man IPFV climb.on boat
 "A man is embarking/getting on board."

East Futunan: A_{erg} > S_{abs}

5a. *E taki e le fafine le motok kula.*
 NPST drive ERG SPC woman SPC car red
 "The woman is driving the red car."

5b. *E taki motok le fafine.*
 NPST drive car SPC woman
 "The woman is driving. / The woman has a driving license."

East Uvean: A_{erg} > S_{abs}

6a. *Ë fahi te fafie e Mateo.*
 NPST split SPC wood ERG Mateo
 "Mateo is splitting the firewood."

6b. *Ë fahi fafie ia Mateo.*
 NPST fendre bois ABS Mateo
 "Mateo is splitting firewood."

Similar choices between Verb + definite direct object vs Verb + indefinite object are found in many languages. Mithun (1984:872) gives Hungarian examples, from Bese et al. (1970):
 - Direct objects that are both referential and definite follow the V, and are indexed within it by a definite transitivity marker (-sa):

7a. *Péter olvas-sa az újságot.*
 Peter read-OBJ the newspaper
 "Peter is reading the newspaper."

- When the object is referential but indefinite, no marker appears in the V:

7b. *Péter olvas egy újságot.*
 Peter read a newspaper
 "Peter is reading a [specific] newspaper."

- When the object is non-referential, it precedes the V, which shows no object agreement:

7c. *Péter újságot olvas*
 Peter newspaper reads
 "Peter is reading a newspaper."

Incorporation with no impact on the verb valency, it only concerns a rearrangement of the absolutive argument: the *Isu mamafa* lit. "stuck nose" constructions (Mosel & Hovdhaugen 1992).

East Futunan

8a. *E kula le lanu o loku kofu*
 npst red SPC colour POSS my dress
 "The color of my dress is red."

8b. *E lanu kula loku kofu*
 NPST color red my dress
 "My dress is red-colored."

- 9a. *Na veli loku manava i le sou o le tai.*
 PST bad POSS.1SG belly OBL SPC rolling POSS SPC sea
 ÷I am sea sick because of the rolling of the waves.ø(lit. my belly is bad í)
- 9b. *Na kau manava veli i le sou o le tai.*
 PST 1SG belly bad OBL SPC rolling POSS ART sea
 ÷I am sea sick because of the rolling of the sea.ø(lit. I have bad bellyí)

2. Passive and antipassive

Lazard (*Actances 2:7657*): Passive and antipassive constructions are produced by transformations of the basic construction (the major biactant construction), and have functions of several kinds:

- * syntactic functions: they are compulsory or preferred in certain complex sentences
- * discourse functions: they are used to change the discourse orientation (choice of theme and rheme) of the sentence
- * semantic functions: antipassive may convey a conative action; it may indicate less direct effect by the agent on the patient than the major biactant construction it is semantically correlated with: incomplete aspect, a partitive object; passive with the agent losing something of its typical properties, or it may have honorific value, as in Japanese, etc.

Passif	
Y6V (6X)	
Actif	Uniactanciel
X6Y6V	Z6V
X6V(6Y)	
Antipassif	

2.1. Passive voice

The prototypical passive is characterized in two ways morphosyntactically and in terms of its pragmatic function (Shibatani 1985, Keenan 1985, Givón 1990, Payne 1997):

- Morphosyntactically: semantically transitive clause containing an agent, a patient and a telic verb, further specified for the following features:
 - The **patient** is placed and case marked as the **subject** of a basic active clause (and hence available to subject oriented processes such as Equi-NP-Deletion).
 - The **agent** is either omitted or demoted to an **oblique** role (e.g. instrumental, locative or genitive).
 - The **verb** (or verb phrase) shows special marking (often an affix or an auxiliary verb) and is intransitive.
- pragmatic function: the prototypical passive is used primarily for agent suppression or de-topicalisation because it is unknown, irrelevant, superfluous or predictable (Givón 1990: 568).

In WALS:

A construction has been classified as passive if it displays the following five properties:

- (i) it contrasts with another construction, the active;
- (ii) the subject of the active corresponds to a non-obligatory oblique phrase of the passive or is not overly expressed;
- (iii) the subject of the passive, if there is one, corresponds to the direct object of the active;
- (iv) the construction is pragmatically restricted relative to the passive;
- (v) the construction displays some special morphological marking of the verb.

Difference between

- A *synthetic passive*: the verb exhibits some form of marking, as the suffix *-w*:
Swahili

- 1a. *Hamisi a-li-pik-a chakula.*
Hamisi 3SG-PST-cook-IND food
"Hamisi cooked the/some food."
- 1b. *Chakula ki-li-pik-w-a (na Hamisi).*
food 3SG-PST-cook-PASS-IND by Hamisi
"The food was cooked (by Hamisi)."

- A *periphrastic or analytical passive*

Polish

- 2a. *Intensywne deszcze zniszczyły niwa.*
intensive rain destroyed harvest
"Intensive rain destroyed the harvest."
- 2b. *niwa została zniszczona (przez intensywne deszcze)*
harvest remained destroyed by intensive rain
"The harvest was destroyed by intensive rain."

D. Creissels, *classical passives*, also including an oblique agent phrase.

Tswana (Creissels 2016.)

- 3a. *Kíts -t áà-kwál-á l -kwá l .*
(CL1)Kitso A.CL1-FUT-write-FV CL11-letter
"Kitso will write the letter."
- 3b. *L -kwál l -t áà-kwál-w-à (k Kî ts)*
CL11-letter A.CL11-FUT-write-PASS-FV by (CL1)Kitso
"The letter will be written (by Kitso)."

ó in (3b), the writer is not one of the two core terms (A) of a transitive predication, as in (1a); it may be left unexpressed, or encoded as an oblique;

ó in (3b), the thing written is not the P term of a transitive predication, but the U term of an intransitive predication.

⇒ demotion of A and promotion of P.

⇒ reversal of the topicality hierarchy: A>P (transitive construction), P>A (passive).

Agentless passives

In languages with agentless passives, biclausal constructions of the type illustrated in Classical Nahuatl constitute a common equivalent of passive constructions including an agent phrase.
Classical Nahuatl (Launey 1980)

4. *Ni-tlazòtla-lo n ch-tlazòtla in no-tàtzin.*
A.1SG-love-PASS P.1SG-love DEF 1SG-father
"I am loved by my father." (lit. I am loved, my father loves me)

Obligatory passive

A particularly clear case is that of languages in which inherently non-topical phrases such as interrogative or negative pronouns cannot fulfill the role of A in the basic transitive construction, and the use of a passive construction is obligatory whenever such a phrase refers to a participant normally encoded as the A term of a transitive construction:

Tswana (Creissels 2016)

- 5a. **Má -t áà-kwál-á l -kwâ l ?*
 who A.CL1-FUT-write-FV CL11-letter
 *"Who will write the letter?"
- 5b. *L -kwál l -t áà-kwál-w-à k mâ ?*
 CL11-letter A.CL11-FUT-write-PASS-FV by who
 "The letter will be written by whom?"

M ori (Polynesian, New Zealand)

- intransitive construction : S unmarked :

- 6a. *Ka moe te tamaiti.*
 AOR sleep DEF child
 -The child sleeps.∅

- construction I: A unmarked, O marked by *i*

- 6b. *Ka patu te tangata i te tuna*
 AOR kill DEF man OBL DEF eel
 -The man killed the eel.∅

- construction II: suffixed-verb, A marked by *e*, O unmarked:

- 6c. *Ka patu-a e te tangata te tuna.*
 AOR kill-PASS AGT DEF man DEF eel
 -The eel has been killed by the man.∅

The passive suffix is compulsory:

- when A is not expressed : *V iO, only V-PASS O

- 6d. *Kitemea ka hari-a te kai.*
 when AOR bring-PASS DEF food
 -When the food was brought...∅

- when the object introduces a relative:

- 6e. *Ka puta ki waho ngaa tamariki i horo-mia nei*
 AOR arrive LOC outside DEF.PL son PST swallow-PASS DUR
 -Then came out the sons she had swallowed.∅

- in imperative clauses with transitive verbs:

- 6f. *Huti-a te punga*
 pull out-PASS DEF anchor
 -Pull out the anchor!∅

An active construction is required when the object is not expressed (*V-suf eS) or is incorporated, when the subject introduces a relative and in reflexive constructions.

When the choice between active and passive is available, the passive occurs more than twice as frequently as the active.

Constraints on the use of passive constructions

In Nahuatl, the active-passive alternation is only possible with animate P∅.

Classical Nahuatl (Launey 1980)

- 7a. *∅-Itta-l -c in cihu tl.*
 A.3-see-PASS-CPL DEF woman
 "The woman was seen."

7b. \emptyset -*Mo-tta-c* *in* *cihu tl*.
 A.3-MID-see-CPL DEF woman
 "The woman saw herself (e.g. in a mirror)."

7c. * \emptyset -*Itta-l -c* *in* *calli*.
 A.3-see-PASS-CPL DEF house
 *"The house was seen."

7d. \emptyset -*Mo-tta-c* *in* *calli*.
 A.3-MID-see-CPL DEF house
 "The house was seen." (lit. saw itself)

Oblique passives

Oblique passives are constructions in which an intransitive verb undergoes a morphological operation identical to that marking A demotion and P promotion with transitive verbs. The unique core argument U of the intransitive verb is demoted exactly like the A argument of a transitive verb, and a term encoded as an oblique in the construction of the underived form of the intransitive verb is promoted to U.

(8) *This bed has been slept in.*

Impersonal passives from transitive verbs

The A-argument is demoted exactly as in a canonical passive construction, but the P-argument is encoded as in the basic transitive construction, as in French:

- active

9a. *Le président a pris une décision.*
 the president has taken a decision
 "The president took a decision."

- canonical passive

9b. *Une décision a été prise (par le président).*
 a decision has been taken by the president
 "A decision was taken (by the president)"

- impersonal passive

9c. *Il a été pris une décision.*
 it has been taken a decision
 "A decision was taken."

German (impersonal passive construction including an agent phrase)

10. *In der Küche wurde von vielen Leuten geraucht.*
 in the kitchen was by many people smoked
 "There was smoking by many people in the kitchen."

2.2. P-demotion: the Antipassive voice

Antipassive derivations have long been observed by linguists describing individual languages, but no cross-linguistic generalization had been proposed. The systematic cross-linguistic investigation of the antipassive started 40-50 years ago in connection with the cross-linguistic study of ergativity, and a correlation was immediately proposed between the alignment properties of languages and the presence of passive or antipassive voices. According to this hypothesis, obligatory A coding (\neg nominative-accusative \emptyset) languages would have a passive voice, whereas obligatory P coding (\neg absolutive-ergative \emptyset) languages would have an antipassive voice. However, this hypothesis does not stand up nowadays:

- ó antipassive voices, either syncretic or dedicated, are quite common in obligatory A coding languages,
- ó passive voices are not rare in obligatory P coding languages either,
- ó many languages have both a passive and an antipassive voice (Káicheeø Yupáik, Soninke)

In fact, as regards the antipassive voice, the only differences between obligatory A coding and obligatory P coding languages are the following:

- ó the antipassive voice is more visible in obligatory P coding languages, since the conversion of A into U changes its coding characteristics, whereas in obligatory A coding languages, the conversion of A into U triggers no change in its coding characteristics;
- ó making the A argument accessible to operations to which it does not have access as the A term of a transitive construction is a possible function of the antipassive voice in some -ergativeø languages which has no equivalent in -accusativeø languages.

Janic (2013) provides a general survey of antipassive constructions in accusative languages, and a general discussion of this question.

Definition

In canonical antipassive constructions, a transitive verb undergoes a morphological operation encoding P demotion: the P argument of the transitive verb is either left unexpressed or encoded as an oblique, whereas the A argument of the transitive verb is treated as the U term of an intransitive predication.

Typology of antipassive derivations

Cross-linguistically, antipassive derivations vary along the following parameters:

- ó the demoted P argument may be optionally expressed as an oblique, but in some antipassive constructions, it is obligatorily left unexpressed;
- ó the verb forms found in antipassive constructions may be dedicated antipassive forms, or forms also found in constructions expressing other types of valency operations, or even in constructions involving no change in the valency;
- ó there is also some cross-linguistic variation in the functions of the constructions meeting the definition formulated above.

The functions of antipassive derivations

- increase of the relative topicality of the A argument, and in a decrease in the relative topicality of the P argument.

Yupáik (Mithun 2000)

- 11a. *Arna-m tangrr-aa taqukaq.*
 woman-ERG see-DECL.A.3SG.P.3SG bear
 "The woman sees the bear."
- 11b. *Arnaq tanger-tuq taquka-mek.*
 woman see-DECL.U.3SG bear-ABL
 "The woman sees a bear."
- 11c. *Arna-m allg-aa ðumarraq.*
 woman-ERG tear-DECL.A.3SG.P.3SG shirt
 "The woman tears the shirt."
- 11d. *ðumarraq alleg-tuq.*
 shirt tear-DECL.U.3SG
 "The shirt gets torn." or "The shirt is being torn."
- 11e. *Arnaq allg-i-uq ðumarra-mek.*
 woman tear-ANTIPASS-DECL.U.3SG shirt-ABL
 "The woman tears a shirt."

Antipassive in Oceanic languages?

No dedicated antipassive marker. But object peripherization:

Samoan

12a. *S ʔai le iʔa.*
 PST eat SPC fish
 ʔThe fish ate \emptyset or ʔthe fish was eaten \emptyset (Mosel & Hovdhaugen 1992:718)

12b. *S ʔai e le teine le iʔa*
 PST eat ERG SPC girl SPC fish
 ʔThe girl ate the fish. \emptyset (id.108)

12c. *S ʔai le teine i le iʔa.*
 PST eat SPC girl OBL SPC fish
 ʔThe girl ate some fish. \emptyset (Lit. the girl ate from the fish) (idem)

East Uvean (Wallis Island) (Moyse-Faurie 2010:473)

13a. *Vakaʔi ia te p sina fakaʔosi!*
 examine ABS SPC page last
 ʔExamine the last page! \emptyset

13b. *Vakaʔi ki te p sina fakaʔosi!*
 examine OBL SPC page last
 ʔOnly look attentively at the last page. \emptyset / ʔExamine specifically the last page. \emptyset

14a. *ʔE inu te foʔi niu e Paulo.*
 NPST drink SPC CLS coco ERG Paulo
 ʔPaulo is drinking coconut juice. \emptyset

14b. *ʔE inu ia Paulo ki te foʔi niu o Soane.*
 NPST drink ABS Paulo OBL SPC CLS coco POSS Soane
 (i) ʔPaulo only drinks the juice from Soane's coconut. \emptyset (Paulo boit juste du jus de la noix de coco de Soane) or:
 (ii) ʔPaulo drinks some of the juice of Soane's coconut. \emptyset

The (i) translation is the more spontaneous one. The (ii) translation, inducing a less affected patient as it was the case in the Samoan examples, was only obtained when I suggested ití

Middle, Reciprocity and Reflexivity

1. The reflexive, reciprocal, and middle domains

1.1. The Middle domain

Kemmer: ða semantic area comprising events in which the Initiator is also an Endpoint or affected entity; agent and patient roles are not clearly distinguishable. It includes grooming actions, movements and change in body positions, spontaneous events and -naturally reciprocal situations.

German: *Der Junge erhob sich; Die Tür öffnete sich*

French: *Le garçon s'est levé; La porte s'ouvre.*

Creissels uses the term *quasi-reflexivity* for the following type of relationship between one- and two-participant events:

- ó the action performed by the unique participant in the one-participant event can be assimilated to the process undergone by the patient in the two-participant event;
- ó this action is performed consciously and voluntarily, but in a way that cannot be assimilated to the action performed by the agent in the two-participant event.

According to Creissels, the notion of quasi-reflexive event is necessary to account for the cross-linguistically widespread use of reflexive forms or constructions to encode one-participant events that cannot be viewed as reflexive events *stricto sensu*, although they have an affinity with reflexive events that explains the tendency to use the same coding:

French: *se lever* -stand up and *lever* -raise same encoding as *se blesser* -injure oneself and *blesser* -injure

In Oceanic languages, however, "quasi-reflexives" have nothing to do with "reflexives *stricto sensu*", and for this reason, I maintain the distinction between the three domain: middle, reflexives and reciprocals. In these languages, detransitivization markers with functions including the expression of middle and anticausativity developed with no connection with reflexivity. Middle markers, by contrast, have affinity with the reciprocal markers.

Middle situations are:

a) either unmarked (that is, lexically marked), as in English: *The boy stood up, The door opens.* French: *Le lait bout.* "Milk is boiling."

EAST FUTUNAN (Nuclear Polynesian):

1. *E ma'anu a Malia.*
NPST bathe ABS Malia
-Malia is washing (up).ø

b) or marked by reduplication

2. *selu* -to comb> *seluselu* -to comb one's hair
tilo -to look through glasses> *tilotilo* -to look at oneself in a mirror
l -to swing s.o.> *l l* -to go on a swing

c) or expressed by a reflex of the Proto Oceanic prefix *paRi-, in an intransitive construction

- 3a. *E ke vaku le tu'a o lou toe.*
NPST 2SG scratch SPC back POSS your child
-You are scratching your child's back.ø

- 3b. *E ke fe-vaku i le kai e namu.*
 NPST 2SG PREF-scratch OBL SPC eat ERG mosquito
 -You are scratching (your back) because of mosquito bites.ø

-Depatientiveø is the term used by most Oceanist linguists to refer to one of the meanings of a construction built with reflexes of the Proto Oceanic prefix *paRi-: reciprocal, chaining, collective, converse, distributed, repetitive, depatientive, middle, kinship relations, and collective pluralsö and ötwo basic notions that underlie the polysemies: plurality of relations and a low degree of elaboration of situationsö (Lichtenberk 1999:55).

1.2. The reciprocal domain

According to König and Kokutani (2006), reciprocity presupposes at least two participants in a symmetric relation or event, in which both play two different roles: *Die Studierenden begrüßten sich. Les étudiants se sont salués. The students greeted each other.*

They distinguish two basic types of reciprocals, viz. -nominal reciprocalsø (-pronominalø and -quantificationalø strategies) and -verbal reciprocalsø (-syntheticø and -compound reciprocalsø). In Oceanic languages, prototypical reciprocal situations are expressed with a reflex of the POC prefix, combined with a suffix as in (4), or a coreferent pronominal object as in (5):

East Futunan: POC *paRi- > *fe-* + PPn *-*(C)aki* suffix

4. *Ofolele kua fe-tio-aki le sä tagata o l fe-iloa-aki.*
 suddenly PFV PREF-see-SUF SPC CLS man and 3DU PREF-know-SUF
 -Suddenly the two men looked at each other and recognized each other.ø

Xârâgurè (South of the Mainland, New Caledonia): POC *paRi- > *pu-* + coreferent pronominal object

5. *Pa-Mwâjoaru pu-tia nyärä nëëra.*
 COLL-Mwâjoaru PREF-separate 3PL today
 -The Mwâjoaru are splitting up today.ø

1.3. The reflexive domain

The prototypical reflexive domain mostly concerns actions performed on oneself that one usually does to others, and it involves an agent and a patient which happen to refer to the same person. Reflexivity also typically involves intentionality and often draws attention to the unexpected nature of the event described.

German: *Er verzieh es sich nie.*

French: *Il ne s'est jamais pardonné.*

English: *He has never forgiven himself.*

In most Oceanic languages, reflexivity is marked by specific morphemes, called "intensifiers" (König 1991), whose presence and interpretation as identity function excludes the transitive other-directed interpretation of the event, in favour of a reflexive one.

a) Emphatic particles

East Futunan

6. *E 'ita a Petelo kiate ia fa'i.*
 NPST be angry ABS Petelo OBL 3SG INT
 -Petelo is angry at himself.ø
7. *Na ako'i le tagata e ia fa'i.*
 PST teach SPC man ERG 3SG INT
 -The man taught himself.ø

b) *The "Alone" strategy*

M ori (benefactive reflexive use)

9. *Ka hoko a Hone i te motok m na anake.*
 TAM buy PERS Hone OBJ ART car for him ALONE
 -Hone will buy a car for himself.ø (Bauer 1997:639)

c) *The "True, exact" strategy*

East Uvean

10. *øE leleiøia -aupit e Soane ia ia totonu.*
 NPST admire very ERG Soane ABS 3SG true
 -Soane admires himself a lot.ø

d) *The Bound nouns strategy*

Lolovoli (North Central Vanuatu)

11. *Ra-ni wehe-ra sibo-ra.*
 3NSGS-IRREAL kill-3NSGO SELF-3NSGPOSS
 -They will kill themselves.ø (Hyslop, 2001:266)

e) *The "again/return" strategy*

Xârâgurè (South of the Mainland, New Caledonia)

12. *Nyärä sa nyärä mûgé*
 3PL hit 3PL AGAIN
 -They are hitting themselves.ø

f) *The Deictic strategy*

Rapanui (Du Feu 1996: 97-98)

13. *E hapaø koe ia koe øa.*
 IPFV care for 2SG OBJ 2SG DEIC
 -Look after yourself!ø

1.4. Constructions and semantic domains

CONSTRUCTIONS	SEMANTIC DOMAINS
unmarked V, intransitive	middle situations such as grooming actions or natural reciprocity
unmarked V, transitive, with pronominal O obligatorily coreferent with S	middle situations such as meteorological events or change in body position
prefixed V	middle situations , generic, habitual events; shared activity; spontaneous events; grooming actions, inherent and dual reciprocity
prefixed V + pronominal O coreferent with S	prototypical reciprocal situations (extended to reflexive situations in a few Kanak languages)
circumfixed V	prototypical reciprocal situations
unmarked V, transitive, with coreference between S and pronominal O marked by a morpheme	prototypical reflexive situations (extended to reciprocal situations in a few Kanak and Polynesian languages)

2. Middle marking without reduction of the number of arguments

According to Creissels (2016), starting from the expression of agent-beneficiary reflexivization (or *auto-benefactive*), as in (14b), middle voices may develop uses marking no change in the number of arguments, their syntactic status, or the denotative meaning, in which middle marking just highlights the affectedness of the agent, as in (14d). Note that, in French, middle marking automatically triggers the use of $\text{à-be}\emptyset$ (instead of $\text{à-have}\emptyset$) in completive auxiliary function.

French

- 14a. *Il a acheté des chaussures.*
he has bought some shoes
"He bought shoes."
- 14b. *Il s'est acheté des chaussures.*
he MID=is bought some shoes
"He bought shoes for himself."
- 14c. *Il a mangé un gâteau entier.*
he has eaten a cake whole
"He ate a whole cake."
- 14d. *Il s'est mangé un gâteau entier.*
he MID=is eaten a cake whole
"He ate a whole cake (and enjoyed it)."